IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

CIVIL REVISION APPLICATION No. 189 of 1994

For Approval and Signature:

HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE P.B.MAJMUDAR

==========

1 Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?

2 To be referred to the Reporter or not ?

3 Whether their Lordships wish to see the fair copy of the judgment ?

4 Whether this case involves a substantial question of law as to the interpretation of the constitution of India, 1950 or any order made thereunder ?

5 Whether it is to be circulated to the civil judge ?

==========

KANCHANBEN WD/O.NAGINDAS LAKDAWALA

Versus

BRIJLAL B BEDI AND ANOTHER

==========

Appearance :
MS VJ BRAHMBHATT for the applicant.
MR GIRISH D BHATT for the opponents.

==========

CORAM : HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE P.B.MAJMUDAR

Date : 06/03/2006

ORAL JUDGMENT

This Revision Application is filed by the original plaintiff against the judgment and decree dated 28th September 1992 passed by Extra Assistant Judge in Civil Appeal No. 13 of 1989.

Ms. V.J. Brahmbhatt, learned advocate for the petitioner says that the parties have settled their dispute outside the Court and she, therefore, submits that this Revision Application may be disposed of as having become infructuous. Mr. G.D. Bhatt also states that the dispute between the parties is settled outside the court.

In view of the aforesaid statements of learned advocates, this Revision Application is dismissed as having become infructuous. Rule is discharged. No order as to costs.

[P. B. MAJMUDAR, J.]

mathew